This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/809,481	03/15/2001	P. Read Montague	P01963US1	6279
26271 75	590 08/05/2004		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			BATES, KEVIN T	
1301 MCKINN SUITE 5100	IEY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1 (2
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	09/809,481	MONTAGUE ET A	L. V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Bates	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to becore	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ applicant may not request that any objection to the specific and the specific and applicant may not request that any objection to the specific and the specific and applicant may not request that any objection to the specific and speci	Irawn from consideration d/or election requirement iner. accepted or b) □ objecte	t. d to by the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have to reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Pape (08) 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) or No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO) r:	O-152)

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on March 15, 2001.

The Power of Attorney was received on June 8, 2001 and June 20, 2001.

The Declaration was received on June 12, 2001.

The Drawings were received on 3, 2001.

Claims 1 - 26 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16, 22-23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anupam (5862330).

Regarding claim 1, Anupam discloses a method implemented via a client-server system for allowing a first client station to cause a second client station to display a predetermined resource page (Column 1, lines 45 – 46), said method comprising the steps of: providing access to a client-server system including a first client station, a second client station (Column 1, lines 45 – 46), and a server station (Column 1, lines 59 – 61), the stations being disposed in electronic communication (Column 1, lines 60 – 61); providing a browser program for displaying resource pages on the second client

Art Unit: 2155

station (Column 1, lines 45 - 52), at the first station, transmitting an address for the resource page to the second client station, at the second client station, receiving the address of the resource page from the server station; and at the client station, operating the browser program to download the resource page (Column 1, lines 62 - 67).

Regarding claim 2, Anupam discloses the step of providing access to a client-server system includes providing access to the Internet (Column 2, lines 19-21).

Regarding claim 3, Anupam discloses the resource page is a web page, and wherein the transmitting step includes transmitting the URL of the predetermined web page (Column 2, lines 31 - 33).

Regarding claim 4, Anupam discloses the step of providing access to a client-server station includes providing a server station configured to mediate communication between the first client station and one or more passenger stations, including the second client station (Column 1, lines 64 - 67).

Regarding claim 5, Anupan discloses the transmitting step includes sending the resource address from the first client station to the server station and, then, operating the server station to broadcast the resource address to the second client station (Column 1, lines 64 - 67).

Regarding claim 6, Anupam discloses the step of receiving the resource address from the server, includes sending the address to the browser program on the second client station, and operating the browser program to download content (Column 2, lines 30 - 33).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 7, Anupam discloses the step of generating a browser window on the second client station, whereby the browser window communicates with the server station though a communication applet (Column 3, lines 3-9).

Regarding claim 8, Anupam discloses the steps of: providing a communication applet on the second client station; and operating the communication applet on the second client station to transmit information from the server station (Column 3, lines 3 – 9).

Regarding claim 9, Anupam discloses the step of operating the communication applet to communicate data between the first and second client station (Column 1, lines 55 - 67; Column 2, lines 33 - 34).

Regarding claim 10, Anupam discloses the step of operating the communication applet to receive data input from a user (Column 3, lines 20 - 24).

Regarding claim 11, Anupam discloses an interactive computer system for allowing a first computer to cause one or more second computers to display a predetermined web page, said system comprising (Column 1, lines 45 – 46): at least one first computer connected to computer network; one or more second computers connected to the computer network; and a server computer connected to the computer network, the server computer having a computer program storage device (Column 1, lines 45 – 67) and a program residing thereon, the program including instructions executable by the server computer for performing a method of transmitting a preselected URL resource address from the first computer to the second computer, the resource address identifying a predetermined web page to one or more of the second

Art Unit: 2155

computers for access thereon and such that the predetermined web page may be displayed by each of the second computers (Column 1, lines 62 - 67).

Regarding claim 12, Anupam discloses that the second computer includes a browser program for downloading files received from the server computer (Column 1, lines 44 - 52).

Regarding claim 13, Anupam discloses programming means for linking the one or more second computers with one or more second computers, such that a first computer controls, at least partially, the browser program on the one or more second computers (Column 1, lines 63 - 67).

Regarding claim 14, Anupam discloses programming means for predefining a set of websites and a connection scheme among the websites (Column 4, lines 6 - 17), the programming means being operable by a user of a first computer to control, at least partially, browser navigation on the one or more second computers (Column 1, lines 63 - 67).

Regarding claim 15, Anupam discloses programming means for defining a set of website URLs, such that the second computers may be guided through one or more of the websites defined by the set of URLs (Column 4, lines 4-26).

Regarding claim 16, Anupam discloses a method of annotating a web page via an interactive computer network (Column 2, lines 19-29), said method comprising the steps of: providing access to an interactive computer network including a first computer a server, and one or more second computers (Column 1, lines 55-67); providing a first annotation program operable with a first web browser residing on the first computer

Art Unit: 2155

(Column 2, lines 39-45; Column 3, lines 3-16); providing a second annotation program operable with a second web browser residing on one of the second computers (Column 3, lines 3-16), operating the first annotation program to annotate the web content residing on the first computer (Column 1, lines 63-67); at the server, receiving input from the first annotation program representative of the annotation provided on the web content; operating the server to interact with the second annotation program so as to annotate the web content residing on the second computer such that the annotation provided thereon corresponds to the annotation provided on the first computer (Column 1, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 22, Anupam discloses a method for linking at least one pilot client station with a plurality of passenger client stations and guiding each of the passenger stations through a plurality of web sites (Column 1, lines 45 - 46), the method comprising the steps of: providing access to a network in communication with the Internet and including a pilot client station, one or more passenger client stations, and at least one server station disposed in communication with each of the pilot and passenger stations (Column 1, lines 44 - 67); providing a server program on the server station operable to facilitate communication between the pilot station and the passenger stations (Column 1, lines 64 - 67); providing a browser program on each of the pilot and passenger stations, the browser programs on the passenger stations being operable to download web content upon receipt of a URL (Column 1, lines 64 - 67); column 2, lines 64 - 67); transmitting the set of predefined URLs to each of the passenger stations; and

Art Unit: 2155

guiding each of the passenger stations through one or more of the websites defined by the set of URL's (Column 4, lines 6-17), the guiding step including operating the browser program on each of the passenger stations to download the content of a web page using the URL transmitted thereto (Column 5, lines 36-47).

Regarding claim 23, Anupam discloses that the transmitting step includes transmitting the URLs via the server station (Column 1, lines 63 – 66).

Regarding claim 26, Anupam discloses the guiding step includes operating the browser program on each of the passenger stations to download web content directly without passing content through the server station (Column 5, lines 36 - 47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-18, 21, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anupam (5862330) in view of Anupam (6411989).

Regarding claim 17, Anupam ('330) does not explicitly indicate the step of operating the first computer to move a mark placed on the web content thereon, to a different location on the web content thereon, such that the corresponding mark appearing on the second computer moves to a second location on each of the web content displayed thereon, wherein the second location on the second computer corresponds to the second location on the web content of the first computer. Anupam

Art Unit: 2155

('989) teaches the idea of accepting changes users browsers perform on the web content being viewed interactively with other users (Column 2, lines 17 - 24). This detection proprocates the detected change to the other users involved in the realtime cooperative session (Column 7, lines 13 - 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Anupam's ('330) disclosure with Anupam's ('989) teaching in order to allow the session to show full interaction of one user and a webpage, such as important details in filling out web page forms (Column 6, line 41 -Column 7, line 13)

Regarding claim 18, In the combination of Anupam's ('330) and ('989) references discloses the step of operating the first computer to mark over a discrete location on the arbitrary web content appearing thereon, such that a corresponding mark is made over a corresponding location on the web content appearing on the second client station (Column 6, lines 18 – 36; Column 6, line 41 – Column 7, line 13).

Regarding claim 24, Anupam ('330) discloses the guiding step includes guiding each of the passenger stations to a website (Column 1, lines 63 - 67), but does not explicitly indicate preventing the passenger stations from accessing a website other than one common website designated by operation of the pilot station. Anupam ('989) teaches the idea of having one of the users at a given point in time have control over the interactive session, preventing other users from moving to a new address (Column 7, lines 13 - 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Anupam ('989) teaching in Anupam's ('330) system

Art Unit: 2155

to create organization and access control over the viewing process (Column 7, lines 13 – 25).

Regarding claim 25, the combination of Anupam ('330) and ('989) from the rejection to claim 24 discloses the guiding step further includes the step of defining a subset gated community comprising a predefined collection of URLs, and wherein the guiding step further includes the step of guiding each of the passenger stations into the gated community, whereby each of the passenger stations can freely move between one or more of the collection of predefined URLs ('330, Column 4, lines 8 – 11).

Regarding claim 21, the combination of Anupam ('330) and ('989) from the rejection to claim 24 discloses the steps of operating the first client station as a pilot station and restricting navigation of the World Wide Web on each of the second client stations (Column 7, lines 13-25).

Claims 19 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anupam (5862330) in view of Pacifici (6230171).

Regarding claim 19, Anupam does not explicitly indicate the step of synchronizing pointers on each of the second computers to correspond to a location of a pointer on the first computer. Pacifici discloses showing a pointer on all collaborating users and that the pointer needs to be in an identical position for all users (Column 1, lines 24 – 28; Column 3, lines 28 – 31; Column 5, lines 58 – 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Pacifici's teachings of synchronizing a pointer on all collaborating computer in Anupam's system

Application/Control Number: 09/809,481 Page 10

Art Unit: 2155

in order to use the pointer to help the interaction by pointing to draw the users attention to key locations (Column 5, lines 58 - 67).

Regarding claim 20, Anupam does not explicitly indicate that the steps of sizing a window for displaying web content on each of the second computers such that the window corresponds to the size of a corresponding window for the web content appearing on the first computer. Pacifici discloses a synchronization of web content into the form sizing the browsers to equal positions (Column 3, lines 29 - 34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to force window sizes of collaborating systems to be the same so that the mark up and pointer positions are identical, thus effective (Column 3, lines 1 - 9).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 6108687 issued to Craig, because it discloses a pilot user directing a series of users through a list of predetermined websites, listed by the pilot and restricted to these websites.
- U. S. Patent No. 5784058 issued to LaStrange, because it discloses a first computer that can control the browser display of a second user across a network.
- U. S. Patent No. 5649105 issued to Aldred, because it discloses a collaborative working environment between two users involving a network and their screen displays.

Art Unit: 2155

U. S. Patent No. 5941957 issued to Ingrassia, because it discloses a controller that allows users to cooperatively browse websites. It involves sending applets to create interface channels.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (703) 605-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

KB July 29, 2004 BLOSOF BOSST.
BHARAT BAROT
BONADO EVANDINED

Page 11